PREPARATION OF CATIONIC DIALKYLCOBALT COMPLEXES $[Cor_2(bpy)_2]$ - $[AlR_4]$ (R = CH_3 , C_2H_5) By the reactions of $Co(acac)_3$ with TRIALKYLALUMINUMS IN THE PRESENCE OF 2,2'-BIPYRIDINE

Takakazu YAMAMOTO, Motonori BUNDO, and Akio YAMAMOTO Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152

Stable cationic dialkylcobalt complexes of composition $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]$ - $[AlR_4]$ (R = CH₃, C₂H₅) were obtained in high yields from mixtures of $Co(acac)_3$, 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy), and trialkylaluminums (Al/Co > 7). Ion exchange reactions of the complexes with Na[B(C₆H₅)₄] afforded $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]$ - $[B(C_6H_5)_4] \cdot (H_2O)_n$ (R: CH₃, n=1; C₂H₅, n=0). Reactions of $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]$ - $[AlR_4]$ with CH₂Cl₂ gave $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]$ Cl·CH₂Cl₂.

Formation of transition metal alkyls in various Ziegler type catalyst mixtures (transition metal compounds plus alkylaluminums) has been soundly established. However, most of the transition metal alkyls isolated from the Ziegler type catalyst mixtures are electrically neutral and examples of cationic transition metal alkyls are scarce. We report here the isolation of cationic dialkylcobalt complexes of composition $[CoR_2(bpy)_2][AlR_4]$ (R = CH₃, C₂H₅) from the mixtures of Co(acac)₃ (acac = acetylacetonato), AlR₃, and 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy). Formation of alkylaluminate salts with transition metal alkyl cations has been sometimes suggested, 1,3) but isolation of this type of complex has no precedent.

When a mixture of $\operatorname{Co(acac)}_3$ (2.4 g, 6.7 mmol), bpy (3.6 g, 23 mmol), and $\operatorname{Al(CH_3)}_3$ (3.8 g, 53 mmol) in 60 ml of diethyl ether was warmed from -60°C to room temperature, a brown homogeneous solution was obtained. On stirring the solution for 1 hr a deep red solid began to precipitate, and the deep red solid separated from the solution after further reaction for 10 hr was washed with hexane thoroughly and dried in vacuum to obtain 2.7 g (5.5 mmol, y. = 82 %) of $[\operatorname{Co(CH_3)}_2(\operatorname{bpy})_2][\operatorname{Al(CH_3)}_4]$ (1a). $[\operatorname{Co(C_2H_5)}_2(\operatorname{bpy})_2][\operatorname{Al(C_2H_5)}_4]$ (1b) was prepared in a similar manner (y. = 73 %). The complexes la and 1b are fairly stable in air.

The Co- $\underline{\text{CH}}_3$ signal of $\underline{\text{la}}$ in its ${}^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectrum (CD₂Cl₂, -50°C) appeared at a considerably lower field (0.60 ppm, s, 6H) compared with the electrically neutral transition metal methyl complexes. The Al- $\underline{\text{CH}}_3$ signal appeared at -1.28 ppm (12H) as a complex multiplet due to the ${}^{27}\text{Al-}^{1}\text{H}$ coupling characteristic of Al(CH₃)₄ anion. The NMR spectrum of $\underline{\text{lb}}$ (CD₂Cl₂, -50°C) showed Co- $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$ - at 1.59 (q, 8Hz, 2H) and 1.74 (q, 8Hz, 2H) ppm, Co- $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$ - $\underline{\text{CH}}_3$ at -0.07 ppm (t, 8Hz, 6H), Al- $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$ - at -0.61 ppm (m, 8H), and Al-CH₂- $\underline{\text{CH}}_3$ at 0.87 ppm (m, 12H), respectively. The appearance of the Co- $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$ -signal as a couple of quartets indicates that the two ethyl groups are bonded to asymmetric cobalt⁶) and therefore suggests a cis-configuration for the complex. The

electronegativity of the cobalt atom in 1b calculated from the difference between the positions of $Co-\underline{CH}_2$ - and $Co-\underline{CH}_2-\underline{CH}_3$ signals 7) is 3.2. The value is much larger than Pauling's electronegativity of atomic cobalt ($\chi = 1.7$) and it is consistent with the cationic structure of the cobalt complex. The IR spectra of 1a and 1b showed $\nu(Al-C)$ bands 1a at 680 and 630 cm⁻¹, respectively. Table 1a shows the equivalent conductivities (1a) of 1a and 1a and 1a. The data of non-ionic complexes are also given for the comparison.

Table 1. Equivalent Conductivities of Cationic Dialkyl Cobalt Complexes Complex $\frac{1a}{\Lambda^{a}}$ $\frac{1b}{21.3}$ $\frac{2b}{35.0}$ KI $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ Ni $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ Ni $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ Ni $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ Copylex $\frac{1a}{\Lambda^{a}}$ 21.3 35.0 26.0 40.3 $\frac{1a}{20.07}$ 0.07 a) Equivalent conductivity $\frac{1a}{10.07}$ in dry acetone at -78°C.

It is seen in Table 1 that the complexes la and lb have ionic structures.

The complexes <u>la</u> and <u>lb</u> underwent facile ion exchange reaction with Na[B(C₆H₅)₄] to afford red crystals of $[CoR_2(bpy)_2][B(C_6H_5)_4]\cdot (H_2O)_n$ (R=CH₃,n=1 <u>2a</u>; R=C₂H₅,n=0 <u>2b</u>). Furthermore the complexes <u>la</u> and <u>lb</u> were converted to the complexes of composition $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]Cl\cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (R = CH₃ <u>3a</u>; R = C₂H₅ <u>3b</u>) by the reaction with CH₂Cl₂. Analytical data agreed with the compositions of the complexes <u>2a</u>, <u>2b</u>, <u>3a</u>, and <u>3b</u>. The iodide analogs of <u>3a</u> and <u>3b</u> have been prepared by reducing $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ with NaBH₄ in the presence of alkyl iodide and bpy.

The methyl signals of 2a and 3a and the methyl and methylene signals of 2b and 3b in the NMR spectra appeared at almost the same positions as those of the methyl signal of 1a and of methyl and methylene signals of 1b, respectively, indicating that the dialkylcobalt cations $[CoR_2(bpy)_2]^+$ in the three different types of complexes (1 - 3) have essentially the same electronic structure. The ratios between the peak areas in the NMR spectra agreed with the structures of the complexes. All of the cationic complexes are thermally stable up to $100^{\circ}C$.

References

- (a) L. Reich and A. Schindler, "Polymerization by Organometallic Compounds", Interscience Publishers, John Wiley, New York (1966); (b) R. R. Schrock and G. W. Parshall, Chem. Rev., 76, 243 (1976); (c) F. S. Dyachkovskii, "Coordination Polymerization", p.199, Ed. J. C. W. Chien, Academic Press, New York (1975).
- 2) T. Mole and E. A. Jeffrey, "Organoaluminum Compounds", Elsevier, Amsterdam (1962).
- 3) (a) L. S. Bresler, A. S. Khachaturov, and I. Ya. Poddubnyi, J. Organometal. Chem., 64, 335 (1974); (b) C. G. Overberger and P. A. Jarovitzky, J. Polym. Sci. Part A, 3, 1483 (1965).
- 4) e.g., (a) T. Yamamoto, Y. Nakamura, and A. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 49, 191 (1976); (b) M. L. H. Green and M. J. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., (A), 639 (1971).
- 5) J. P. Oliver and C. A. Wilkie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 163 (1967).
- 6) L. M. Jackman, "Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy", Pergamon, London (1959).
- 7) P. T. Narasimhan and M. T. Rogers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>82</u>, 5983 (1960).
- 8) J. Yamamoto and C. A. Wilkie, Inorg. Chem., 10, 1129 (1971).
- 9) G. Mestroni, A. Camus, and E. Mestroni, J. Organometal. Chem., 24, 775 (1970).